


The need to address challenges to civil society participation in light of restrictions, including due to the Strategic Heritage Plan (SHP)

سوريون
من أجل
الحقيقة
والعدالة

Syrians
For Truth
& Justice



The need to address challenges to civil society participation in light of restrictions,
including due to the Strategic Heritage Plan (SHP)

9 September 2022

To: Members and Observers of the UN Human Rights Council

Cc:

- HRC bureau
- Examine the extraordinary modalities that the Council has adopted and applied throughout the COVID-19 pandemic with a view to a post-COVID-19 Council
- Voluntary rationalization of initiatives
- Consultations on the strengthening and optimization of the Universal Periodic Review
- SIDS and LDCs, implementation of the measures on the use of modern technology (set out in Annex II of PRST/OS/12/01)
- Task Force on Accessibility
- Monitor the implementation as well as take stock of the measures set out in PRST/OS/13/1 with regard to the changes to the Program of Work of the Human Rights Council throughout 2022.

Re: The need to address challenges to civil society participation in light of restrictions, including due to the Strategic Heritage Plan (SHP)

Your excellency,

We write to you in follow up to previous correspondence, including the joint letter from January 2022 sent to the HRC President and Bureau which summarized civil society's expectations for the Human Rights Council in 2022¹.

Civil society participation is a cornerstone of the Human Rights Council. Given continuing restrictions to civil society participation, including the Council's adoption of efficiency measures to address the UN's financial shortfalls; the COVID-19 pandemic²; and the ongoing Strategic Heritage Plan, we call on you to continue to ensure full participation of civil society and that civil society are fully consulted in the making of decisions that affect civil society participation and access to the Council. We are concerned that without such consultation, decisions on issues relating to participation and procedure will be taken without full consideration of all key stakeholders, to the detriment of the Council's effectiveness.

¹ <https://ishr.ch/latest-updates/civil-societys-expectations-for-the-human-rights-council-in-2022/>

² In its resolution 47/3, the Human Rights Council recognized that the pandemic had “exacerbated and accelerated existing challenges, both online and offline, for civil society space, including human rights defenders, including lack of diversity of participation; attacks, reprisals and acts of intimidation, including smear campaigns and use of hate speech; **shortcomings in access and accreditation processes**; the use of legal and administrative measures to restrict civil society activity; restrictions on access to resources; restrictions on the rights to freedom of peaceful assembly and association, and freedom of expression; and increased the impact of the digital divide”.

<https://undocs.org/A/HRC/RES/47/3>

In terms of civil society participation, hybrid participation and side events in particular must be urgently addressed.

Side events

The Strategic Heritage Plan (SHP) works at the Palais des Nations, which began in 2021 and are expected to be completed in 2025³, have had a negative impact on the work of civil society organizations. During a meeting between the UNOG director-general and civil society, on 27 June 2022, organizations were informed that it will not be possible to hold side events until the beginning of 2025 due to the works around the SHP but that ultimately the distribution of meeting rooms is dependent on the Human Rights Council. On 10 August 2022, civil society organizations were informed that “the Secretariat intends to allocate some space for side events at a reduced level compared to the pre-COVID-19 period”: a maximum of one side event per NGO, lasting a maximum of 1 hour, while noting that “it may not be possible to accommodate all requests”.

The High Commissioner Report A/HRC/51/13 stressed that “since March 2020, there have been no non-governmental organization in-person side events in the Palais des Nations during sessions of the Human Rights Council and the Working Group of the Universal Periodic Review, resulting in ongoing limitations to civil society engagement with the Council and the Universal Periodic Review mechanism to date.”⁴

Civil society organizations had consistently raised concern at the fact that holding side events at the Palais des Nations was suspended with no alternatives provided between HRC 44 and HRC 50. While we appreciate the efforts to find solutions and the announced measures for HRC 51 on a pilot basis, we believe it is imperative that states continue to work with UNOG and the HRC Secretariat to ensure that the implementation of the Strategic Heritage Plan enables the reinstatement of side events in the Palais des Nations in line with the situation previous to Covid-19.

Side events are key opportunities for states and civil society to address pressing human rights situations and a key tool of the human rights council. This space is essential for the Council’s ability to fulfill its mandate, as States and NGOs can bring situations of imminent risk or mounting human rights crises to the Council’s attention. It is also one of the only avenues to highlight the root causes of human rights violations occurring in countries not formally addressed by the Council as part of its

³ <https://www.ungeneva.org/en/palais-des-nations/shp>

⁴ Report A/HRC/51/13 of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, Civil society space: COVID-19: the road to recovery and the essential role of civil society, 30 June 2022

<https://undocs.org/Home/Mobile?FinalSymbol=A%2FHRC%2F51%2F13&Language=E&DeviceType=Desktop&LangRequested=False>

programme of work. Additionally, side events around the UPR are an important opportunity for civil society to engage with states to address the human rights situation of countries under review.

Hybrid participation modalities and accessibility

Building upon the inputs of civil society and states, the report of the High Commissioner A/HRC/51/13 concludes that “the recipe for effective crisis response and for trust and resilience is a more systematic investment in meaningful, safe and inclusive participation at all levels, coupled with effective measures to protect access to information and an enabling environment for debate as well as the security and holistic protection of those who speak up.”

We reiterate the recommendation of the report that states and other actors “enable and institutionalize meaningful online participation in hybrid meetings, addressing particular challenges in accessing and participating effectively in online spaces”.⁵

Following the restrictions to in-person participation due to the COVID-19 pandemic, the use of remote participation tools (such as through video statements and remote access to informal consultations), a long-standing demand of organisations, has proven to be an effective way to promote a more inclusive and accessible Human Rights Council for civil society. While in-person engagement of civil society will remain indispensable to the work of the Council, we urge you to maintain the hybrid participation modalities, even after the easing/lifting of COVID-19 measures. Remote participation enables the engagement, in particular of organisations based outside of Geneva, by providing a space for under-resourced civil society actors who would otherwise not be able to attend the Council in-person. The possibility to pre-record video statements allowed for the council to hear from more diversified voices, including from organizations working on the ground. The ability to engage remotely also provided an opportunity for victims and their families to speak directly to the Human Rights Council.

The High Commissioner Report A/HRC/51/13 stressed that the move to online and digital platforms “helped to expand outreach and engagement to previously excluded or underrepresented communities”. It added that “several United Nations human rights treaty bodies have held virtual meetings and briefings with civil society and victims at the local and grass-roots levels”.

⁵ Report A/HRC/51/13 of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, Civil society space: COVID-19: the road to recovery and the essential role of civil society, 30 June 2022

<https://undocs.org/Home/Mobile?FinalSymbol=A%2FHRC%2F51%2F13&Language=E&DeviceType=Desktop&LangRequested=False>

Indeed, during the pandemic, the UN Human Rights Treaty Bodies benefited from the use of remote participation to maintain their activities while ensuring the participation of civil society. With the re-establishment of in-person attendance, we urge you to maintain the hybrid modality for the sessions and pre-sessions, as well as for briefings with civil society organisations.

We also urge you to address current challenges on the provision of hybrid meetings, such as the allocation of inadequate venues (rooms) equipped with insufficient tools (e.g., interconnected microphones, speakers, cameras, and others). This has negatively impacted the engagement of remote participants and their integration with the discussions taking place in-person, particularly during briefings with CSOs. Additionally, we urge you to tackle interpretation-related issues, which have weakened both remote and in-person participation. In some cases, access to interpretation has been unavailable or limited to some types of meetings, or even amounted to a reduced duration of dialogues with State delegations and briefings with CSOs, undermining the proper functioning of the UN Treaty Bodies.

Regarding the provision of accessibility for in-person attendance, we also draw special attention to the inadequacy of the rooms for persons with disabilities, especially wheelchair users, those who rely on animal assistance, and those who are blind and use canes. The allocation of rooms with large spaces to ensure their mobility must be ensured, while guaranteeing the participation of all interested civil society representatives with the necessary material to fully engage in meetings and briefings.


We thank you for your consideration and hope that we can keep working together for a more accessible Human Rights Council.

Sincerely,

1. Addameer Prisoner Support and Human Rights Association
2. AGE Platform Europe
3. Al Mezan Center for Human Rights
4. Al-Haq
5. Asian Forum for Human Rights and Development (FORUM-ASIA)
6. Asian Legal Resource Centre
7. Association for Progressive Communications
8. BADIL Resource Center for Palestinian Residency and Refugee Rights
9. Bahrain Institute for Rights and Democracy (BIRD)
10. Cairo Institute for Human Rights Studies (CIHRS)
11. Center for Reproductive Rights
12. Centre for Civil and Political Rights
13. Child Rights Connect
14. Civic Coalition for Palestinian Rights in Jerusalem (CCPRJ)
15. CIVICUS: World Alliance for Citizen Participation

16. Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative (CHRI)
17. Conectas Direitos Humanos
18. Coordination des Associations et des Particuliers pour la Liberté de Conscience
19. DefendDefenders (East and Horn of Africa Human Rights Defenders Project)
20. European Office Church of Scientology for Public Affairs and Human Rights
21. Fundacion para la Mejora de la Vida, la Cultura y la Sociedad
22. Global Centre for the Responsibility to Protect
23. Global Initiative for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
24. Gulf Centre of Human Rights
25. Habitat International Coalition
26. HelpAge International
27. Humanists International
28. International Commission of Jurists
29. International Council of Jewish Women
30. International Federation for Human Rights (FIDH)
31. International Federation on Ageing
32. International Network for the Prevention of Elder Abuse (INPEA)
33. International Service for Human Rights (ISHR)
34. Jubilee Campaign
35. Justitia Center for legal protection of human rights in Algeria
36. Peace Brigades International
37. Save the Children International
38. Set My People Free
39. Sexual Rights Initiative
40. Southern Africa Human Rights Defenders Network
41. Syrians for Truth and Justice
42. Taafi initiative
43. TB-Net
44. The Community Action Center / Al-Quds University
45. The International Bar Association's Human Rights Institute (IBAHRI)
46. The Syrian Legal Development Programme (SLDP)
47. The World Evangelical Alliance
48. United Religions Initiative
49. West African Human Rights Defenders Network
50. Women's Centre for Legal Aid and Counseling
51. Women's Federation for World Peace, Int'l
52. World Organisation Against Torture (OMCT)

سوريون
من أجل
الحقيقة
والعدالة
Syrians
For Truth
& Justice



About Us:

Syrians for Truth and Justice (STJ) is a nonprofit, nongovernmental organization monitoring human rights violations in Syria. Founded in 2015, STJ has been based in France since 2019.

STJ is an impartial and independent Syrian human rights organization operating across Syria. Our network of field researchers monitor and report human rights violations occurring on the ground in Syria, while our international team of human rights experts, lawyers, and journalists gather evidence, examine emerging patterns of violations, and analyze how violations break domestic Syrian and international law.

We are committed to documenting violations of human rights committed by all parties in the Syrian conflict and elevating the voices of all Syrians victimized by human rights violations, regardless of their ethnicity, religion, political affiliation, class, and/or gender. Our commitment to human rights monitoring is founded on the idea that professional human rights documentation meeting international standards is the first step to uncovering the truth and achieving justice in Syria.



WWW.STJ-SY.ORG



[STJ_SYRIA_ENG](https://twitter.com/STJ_SYRIA_ENG)



EDITOR@STJ-SY.ORG